

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/526,127	03/15/2000	Yoshihisa Usami	Q58292	9460
7590 09/17/2004		EXAMINER		
Sughrue Mion Zinn			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
MacPeak & Seas PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20037-3202			1774	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
		09/526,127	USAMI ET AL.	7			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lawrence D. Fergus	son 1774				
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication a _l r Reply	opears on the cover sh	neet with the correspondence	address			
THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 EX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minimu d will apply and will expire SIX ite, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed m of thirty (30) days will be considered tin (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18</u>	<u>May 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ ⁻	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 193	5 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositio	on of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration	on.				
5) 🗌 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 📙 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requireme	nt.				
Application	on Papers						
9) <u></u> ⊤	he specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2000 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examin	er.			
ļ	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
F	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the dr	rawing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)[T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the att	ached Office Action or form F	PTO-152.			
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)∏ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U .	S.C. & 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	mphonty under 66 6.	0.0. 3 110(a) (a) 01 (1).				
	I. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been receive	d.				
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
3	B. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have	been received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the International Burea						
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copie	s not received.				
Attachment(:	s)						
	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-413)				
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			
	auon Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date	· —	ce of informal Patent Application (P er:	10-102)			

Application/Control Number: 09/526,127

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment mailed May 18, 2004. Claims 1-20 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103(a)

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raychaudhuri et al. (EP 0747895 A2) in view of Hurditch et al (U.S. 5,952,073) for reasons previously stated in the Office Action submitted on August 7, 2004.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments of rejection under 35 USC 103(a) as unpatentable over Raychaudhuri et al. (EP 0747895) in view of Hurditch et al. (U.S. 5,952,073) have been considered but are unpersuasive. Applicant notes the thickness of the optical recording

Application/Control Number: 09/526,127 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

layer and the reflecting layer of Raychaudhuri is selected not such that the thickness is greater than 70% of the thickness showing the minimum reflectance, but such that the minimum reflectance is made to show greater than 70% for a laser wavelength of about 780nm. Examiner maintains the thickness of the optical recording layer and reflecting layer being selected such that the R_{min} reflectivity is about or greater than 70%, meaning the recording layer has a thickness of about or greater 70% corresponding to the first minimum reflectance of the recording medium. Applicant argues Raychaudhuri teaches an inventive concept which distinctly differs from the inventive concept of the present invention, which is directed the intended use of the claimed invention. Intended use is given little patentable weight. Furthermore, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). Applicant argues Hurditch does not overcome the deficiencies of Raychaudhuri. Because Raychaudhuri has been maintained for reasons of record, Hurditch is also maintained.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/526,127

Art Unit: 1774

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is 571-272-1522. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9:00 AM – 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye, can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/526,127

Art Unit: 1774

Page 5

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lawrence Ferguson
Patent Examiner

AU 1774

RENA DYE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

A.U. 1174